Biology-driven genomic predictions for dry matter intake within and across breeds using WGS data

Renzo Bonifazi, M Heidaritabar, AC Bouwman, LD Barlow, L Chen, P Stothard, J Basarab, C Li, G Plastow, the BovReg consortium, B Gredler-Grandl













Biology-driven genomic predictions

- WGS: millions of variants → pinpoint **causal variants** affecting traits of interest
- Key traits: biological efficiency, e.g. feed efficiency
- BovReg: catalogue of functionally active genomic features (GF) in cattle
- genomics (QTL), transcriptomics (eQTL), epigenomics (mQTL), chromatin accessibility (ATAC-seq/ChIP-seq) → "multi-omics" data
- Functional GF → SNP prioritization → Biology-driven genomic predictions



Aim of the study

Validation of **biology-driven genomic predictions**

using **genomic features** for **dry matter intake**



Data available for genomic predictions

	NLD	CAN 🌞
Breed	Holstein	Beef crosses
Herds	6	14
Dependent variable	DRP on DMI	Pre-corrected DMI
n. animals DMI & geno (training - validation)	~3k (2.2k and 850)	~5.5k (4k and 1.5k)

forward-in-time validation (SE via bootstrapping)

■ 50k to imputed WGS (Beagle) → prioritize variants based on GF



Genomic features used

	GF	Traits / Tissues			
	QTL	Meat quality, Growth, Milk production, Morphology, Fertility, Health, Feed efficiency, Methane			
	eQTL	(Gene, Transcript, Splice) Jejunum, Blood, Liver, Mammary Gland, Adipose, Muscle, Milk, Rumen			
From	ATAC-seq GC Moreira et al., EAAP #939	Lymph node Lymph node Lymph node Lymph node Liver Heart Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Skeletal muscle Subcutaneos fat Subcutaneos fat Spleen Cerebellum Skeletal muscle Subcutaneos fat Spleen Corebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Skeletal muscle Subcutaneos fat Spleen Corebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Spleen Corebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Spleen Corebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebrum cortex Spleen Corebellum Corebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebrum cortex Spleen Corebellum Corebellum Corebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebrum cortex Spleen Corebellum Corebellum Corebellum Corebellum Corebellum Cerebellum Cerebellum Cerebrum cortex Spleen Corebellum Corebellu			



Selection of genomic features



Scenarios and software

Scenario	NLD	CAN 🔶
50K	48K	46K
50K + QTL	48K + 5,416	46K + 4,222
50K + eQTL	48K + 12,401	46K + 11,884
50K + (QTL, eQTL, ATAC)	48K + 17,796	46K + 16,089

- NextGP.jl¹
- Base 50K: SNPBLUP (BayesC₀) common variance across SNPs
- Additional GF layer: SNPBLUP or Bayesian (2 mixture model no advantage)
- QTL, eQTL, ATAC \rightarrow Multi-GF \rightarrow overlapping GF



Overlapping genomic features





Overlapping genomic features



BayesRCπ (2 classes)



Mollandin et al. 2022, BMC bioinformatics



• SE: ±0.03



No impact on dispersion (slope = 0.68 for 50k)



• SE between ± 0.02 and ± 0.03



Similar pattern for dispersion (slope = 0.56 for 50k)

Conclusions and next steps

Inclusion of Genomic Features could increase genomic prediction

accuracies for Dry Matter Intake

- Results may vary across breeds/datasets \rightarrow find causal variants (complex traits)
- No advantage using (2 mixture) Bayesian approach over SNPBLUP for GF

Next steps

focus trait/tissues-specific variants, more detailed annotation modelling

(BayesLV), across-/multi-breed NLD-CAN



Acknowledgments

Dr Emre Karaman and Dr Luc Janss

Dr Gabriel Costa M Moreira

The BovReg consortium







This work is carried out within the BovReg project, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815668.



Disclaimer: Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

















THROUGH GENOMIC AND EDIGENOMIC APPROACHES







Thanks for your attention





Disclaimer: Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.



Take-home messages



- Inclusion of Genomic Features could increase genomic prediction accuracies for Dry Matter Intake
- Results vary across breeds/datasets
- No advantage using Bayesian (2 mix model) over SNPBLUP for GF



Disclaimer: Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.